C. S. 100

(5)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO.3493/2006

PETITIONER — V.K. Rajput, aged about 45 years, son of Late Shri Hardatta Singh, resident of Sarvodaya Nagar, Kerajharia, Tahsil – Pali, District Korba (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3554/06 Presented by Shri Manoj Chauhan dated 12/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – the Secretary, Ministry of Adim Jati Tatha Anusuchit Jati Development Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Mantralay, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Secretary, Ministry of School Education Deptt. Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Mantralay, Raipur (C.G.)
3. Commissioner, Adim Jati Tatha Anusuchit Jati Development Department, Raipur (C.G.)
4. District Collector Korba, Distt. Korba (C.G.)
5. M.R. Sriraj, s/o Not known Accountant, Principal Govt. Higher Secondary School Kartala Distt. Korba (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY 12/12/06 CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

Page No. 4
(6)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WPMS 3493 **सन् 2006**

(54)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	17-7-2006 Shri Rahul Jha, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate for the State, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. By the instant petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 6-7-2006 whereby he has been transferred from Pali to Kartala. The petitioner is working as Accountant under the Tribal Welfare Department. The main grievance of the petitioner is that he is Secretary at Tehsil level of the recognized union called as Chhattisgarh Tritiya Varg Shaskiya Karmachari Sangh, and if he is transferred, then he will not be able to look after the activities of the association. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.3 and he be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel for the State has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with copy of this petition to respondent No.3 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.3 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2678/2006 and I.A. No.6785/2006 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Barve

P.T.O.